## BASAL AND GNRH-INDUCED LH SECRETION IN THE RED DEER HIND: THE EFFECTS OF OVARIECTOMY AND OESTRADIOL TREATMENT

## L.M. Meikle, M.W. Fisher, B.J. McLeod, A.J. Whaanga and P.D. Johnstone

MAF Technology, Invermay Agricultural Centre, Private Bag, Mosgiel, N.Z.

The seasonal control of LH secretion in the red deer hind was studied over a 14 month period in ovariectomised (OVX, n=8), ovariectomised and oestradiol treated (OVX+ $E_2$ , n=8) and entire (n=8) adult animals. Ovariectomy took place either prior to the breeding season (22 Feb 1990, n=4 per group) or 5 years earlier during the breeding season (28 June 1985). Oestradiol-containing or empty s.c. implants (length 4 cm, ID 0.335 cm x OD 0.465 cm) were inserted on 22 Feb 1990 and the hinds subsequently blood sampled weekly for LH (heterologous ovine RIA; sensitivity 0.05 ng/ml). Entire hinds were sampled twice weekly to monitor ovarian activity (plasma progesterone) indicative of the breeding season.

In entire hinds, the breeding season consisted of 7-9 ovarian cycles and lasted from 30 March 1990 to 1 September 1990. This period was characterised by relatively high mean LH concentrations in both  $E_2$  treated and untreated OVX hinds and was more evident (p<0.05) in the old OVX hinds (0.87 ± 0.03 ng/ml) than the new OVX animals (0.65 ± 0.03 ng/ml). Anoestrus lasted from 1 September 1990 to 2 April 1991, during which little progesterone was secreted in 6 of the animals. The remaining 2 hinds had secretion patterns indicative of a persistent corpus luteum and perhaps adrenal activity. Mean LH concentrations for hinds with  $E_2$  implants were very low 0.13 ± 0.01 ng/ml (below detectable levels for 116 ± 22 d) throughout the non-breeding season. In comparison hinds without  $E_2$  (mean anoestrus LH 0.45 ± 0.02 ng/ml) fell below detectable levels for only 27±8d. The LH pattern in this group of hinds closely followed the change in daily photoperiod rather than with the breeding and non-breeding season.

Four weekly challenges with exogenous GnRH (10 µg/hind, i.v.) also produced a seasonal pattern in pituitary LH response at 15 minutes post challenge (Table 1).

Table 1 GnRH-induced LH secretion.

Mean LH ± sem (ng/ml plasma)		
. OVX	Entire	
$7.92 \pm 2.19^{b}$	1.26 ± 0.66°	
$4.21 \pm 1.89^{\circ}$	$1.34 \pm 0.78$	
	7.92 ± 2.19 <sup>b</sup>	

As in the ewe, the breeding season is characterised by a marked change in the sensitivity of LH secretion to oestradiol<sup>(1)</sup>. In addition, these results suggest a strong photoperiodic influence on LH secretion in the absence of oestradiol as in the hare<sup>(2)</sup> but more marked than in the ewe<sup>(3)</sup>. The pituitary LH response to GnRH also appeared to be enhanced by ovarian feedback during the breeding season.

- 1. Legan, S.J., Karsch, F.J. & Foster, D.L. (1977). Endo. 101:818-824.
- Caillol, M., Mondain-Monval, M., Meunier, M. & McNeilly, A.S. (1990) J. Reprod. Fert. <u>88</u>:533-542.
- 3. Robinson, J.E., Radford, H.M. & Karsch, F.J. (1985) Biol. Reprod. 33:324-334.